Honour School of Mathematical and Theoretical Physics Part C Master of Science in Mathematical and Theoretical Physics

## ADVANCED QUANTUM THEORY: PATH INTEGRALS AND MANY-PARTICLE PHYSICS

## Trinity Term 2020

Opening time: WEDNESDAY, 10TH JUNE 2020, 09:30 am BST

You should submit answers to both of the two questions.

You have **3 hours** to complete the paper and upload your answer file. You are permitted to use the following material(s): Calculator The use of computer algebra packages is **not** allowed

The numbers in the margin indicate the weight that the Examiners anticipate assigning to each part of the question. 1. A one-dimensional Ising model with vacancies can be modelled as follows: at lattice sites [25] i = 0, 1, ..., L - 1 "spin" variables  $\sigma_i$  are allowed to take values  $\sigma_i \in \{-1, 0, +1\}$ , where '0' corresponds to the absence of a spin (a vacancy). The density of vacancies is controlled by a chemical potential  $\mu$ , while the spins interact via a nearest-neighbor ferromagnetic Ising exchange interaction J. There is no external magnetic field. The energy for a spin and vacancy configuration  $\{\sigma_i\}$  in this model is therefore given by

$$E[\{\sigma_i\}] = \sum_{i=0}^{L-1} \left[ -J\sigma_i \sigma_{i+1} - \mu \delta_{\sigma_i,0} \right],$$
(1)

where  $\delta_{a,b}$  is the Kronecker delta. You may assume  $J, \mu > 0$  unless otherwise stated.

(a) Assuming periodic boundary conditions  $\sigma_0 \equiv \sigma_L$ , show that the partition function  $\mathcal{Z}$  for [6] the model (1) can be expressed as  $\mathcal{Z} = \text{Tr}[\hat{T}^L]$  where the transfer matrix  $\hat{T}$  takes the form

$$\hat{T} = \begin{pmatrix} a & \sqrt{b} & a^{-1} \\ \sqrt{b} & b & \sqrt{b} \\ a^{-1} & \sqrt{b} & a \end{pmatrix},$$
(2)

and hence determine a and b in terms of J,  $\mu$ , and  $\beta \equiv 1/k_{\rm B}T$ , where T is the temperature and  $k_{\rm B}$  is the Boltzmann constant.

(b) Explaining all your reasoning, use your results from part (a) to derive a formula for the [9] free energy per site f in the limit of large L, as a function of  $\beta, \mu$ , and J. You may use the fact that the eigenvalues of  $\hat{T}$  are

$$\lambda_1 = a - a^{-1}, \quad \lambda_{2,3} = \frac{1}{2} \left( a + a^{-1} + b \pm \sqrt{(a + a^{-1} + b)^2 - 4b(a + a^{-1} - 2)} \right).$$

(c) Assuming that  $J \ll \mu$  and working in the regime where  $k_{\rm B}T \gg J$ , determine the average [6] density of vacancies, given by

$$n_{\rm av} = \frac{1}{L} \left\langle \sum_{i} \delta_{\sigma_i,0} \right\rangle_{\beta},\tag{3}$$

where  $\langle \ldots \rangle_{\beta}$  denotes a thermal average. Comment on the limiting behavior when  $k_{\rm B}T \gg \mu$ . [Hint: find an expression for  $n_{\rm av}$  in terms of f, and simplify the latter as much as possible in the regime of interest.]

(d) In this part of the problem, we relax the assumption that  $\mu > 0$ . Obtain the limiting value [4] of the free energy from part (b) for  $\mu \to -\infty$ , and use this to compute the entropy per site in the high-temperature limit  $k_{\rm B}T \gg J$ . Comment on why these results are physically sensible.

2. A one-dimensional quantum spin model has the Hamiltonian

$$H = -J \sum_{j=0}^{L-1} \left( S_j^x S_{j+1}^x + S_j^y S_{j+1}^y + \Delta S_j^z S_{j+1}^z \right), \tag{1}$$

where J > 0,  $0 < \Delta < 1$  and we have a spin-S on each site of the lattice, i.e.  $(S_j^x)^2 + (S_j^y)^2 + (S_j^z)^2 = S(S+1)$ . We impose periodic boundary conditions,  $S_L^{\alpha} = S_0^{\alpha}$  for  $\alpha = x, y, z$ .

- (a) Justifying your answer with a calculation, show that for the given values of  $\Delta$ , in the classical ground state all the spins are aligned parallel to each other and lie in the  $S^x S^y$  plane. [Hint: Consider  $(S_j^x, S_j^y, S_j^z)$  to be a classical vector of length S.] [3]
- (b) What symmetry is broken by the classical ground state? In the remainder of this problem, we explore the theory of quantum fluctuations about the classical ground state, that, without loss of generality, we take to be aligned along  $S^x$ .
- (c) We define a Holstein-Primakoff representation by

$$S_{j}^{x} = S - a_{j}^{\dagger}a_{j}, \qquad S_{j}^{+} = S_{j}^{y} + iS_{j}^{z} = \left(2S - a_{j}^{\dagger}a_{j}\right)^{1/2}a_{j}, \qquad [a_{j}, a_{\ell}^{\dagger}] = \delta_{j,\ell}$$

[Note that this convention for the Holstein-Primakoff representation differs from that used in the lectures, due to the different alignment of the ground state.]

Explain the nature and usefulness of this representation. Identify at least two complications that could generally arise.

(d) Using the Holstein-Primakoff approach, carry out an expansion of H (remembering that [5]  $\Delta < 1$ ) in inverse powers of S. Ignore the constant contribution and drop all terms that grow more slowly than S when S becomes large. Show that the resulting Hamitonian  $H_{\text{LSW}}$ , the linear spin wave (LSW) approximation to H, takes the form

$$H_{\rm LSW} = JS \sum_{j=0}^{L-1} \left[ -A(a_j^{\dagger}a_{j+1} + a_{j+1}^{\dagger}a_j) - B(a_ja_{j+1} + a_j^{\dagger}a_{j+1}^{\dagger}) + Ca_j^{\dagger}a_j \right],$$

where A, B, C are positive constants. Determine the values of constants. [*Hint: to get the correct value of C, you must use the fact that the*  $a_j^{\dagger}a_j$  *term on site j receives contributions from different terms in the sum.*]

(e) By Fourier transforming and using any necessary symmetry properties of the sums over [3] momenta, show that  $H_{\text{LSW}}$  can be written in the form

$$H_{\rm LSW} = JS \sum_{k} \left[ \{ C - 2A\cos k \} a^{\dagger}(k)a(k) - B\cos k \left\{ a^{\dagger}(k)a^{\dagger}(-k) + a(-k)a(k) \right\} \right]$$

where  $[a(k), a^{\dagger}(k')] = \delta_{k,k'}, \ [a(k), a(k')] = [a^{\dagger}(k), a^{\dagger}(k')] = 0.$ 

(f) Now, perform a Bogoliubov transformation to write  $H_{\rm LSW}$  in the form

$$H_{\rm LSW} = \sum_{k} \epsilon(k) b^{\dagger}(k) b(k) + {\rm const.},$$

and thereby determine the new creation and annihilation operators  $b^{\dagger}(k), b(k)$  in terms of  $a^{\dagger}(k), a(k)$ . Here, 'const.' denotes a constant contribution that you need not determine, and  $[b(k), b^{\dagger}(k')] = \delta_{k,k'}, [b(k), b(k')] = [b^{\dagger}(k), b^{\dagger}(k')] = 0$ . Write down an expression for the dispersion of the low-lying spin waves  $\epsilon(k)$ . Show that as  $k \to 0$  the dispersion is approximately linear,  $\epsilon(k) \approx v_s |k|$ , thereby determining the "spin-wave speed"  $v_s$ . Describe how the dispersion changes as  $\Delta \to 1^-$ .

[2]

[4]

[8]